



**2<sup>nd</sup> ANNUAL WILLEM C. VIS  
MIDDLE EAST INTERNATIONAL COMMERCIAL ARBITRATION PRE-MOOT  
February 29 – March 1, 2012  
Muscat, Oman**

**Oral Pleading Score Sheet**

---

Arbitrator: \_\_\_\_\_ Date and Time: \_\_\_\_\_

---

*Scoring should be done on a scale of 25 to 50 points for each of the oralists (25-30 = low; 31-35 = average; 36-40 = good; 41-45 = very good; 46-50 = excellent). Each of the orators will have his scores averaged, and the orator with the highest average will win the Best Oralist award.*

**Important!** The score you give must represent the performance of the students in this argument. It must not reflect either their performance in other arguments, the reputation of the law school or your judgment of the merits of the case. Students should be judged on their ability to formulate, explain, and defend their argument; their advocacy skills; their speaking ability; and their legal arguments and evidence marshaled to defend them.

**Claimant**                      Law School \_\_\_\_\_

First Oralist: \_\_\_\_\_ Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Second Oralist: \_\_\_\_\_ Score: \_\_\_\_\_

---

**Respondent**                      Law School \_\_\_\_\_

First Oralist: \_\_\_\_\_ Score: \_\_\_\_\_

Second Oralist: \_\_\_\_\_ Score: \_\_\_\_\_

---

Arbitrators are individually responsible for assigning scores to the oralists. Although the members of the panel are free to consult with one another before assigning scores, no collective decision on the scores of the oralists should be attempted. Please also consider a few words of constructive advice that arbitrators can give to the students as feedback to help them improve their arguments.

**PLEASE RETURN THE SHEET TO A VIS PRE-MOOT ORGANIZER AT THE END OF THE ARGUMENT. THANK YOU!**